



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/986,970	11/13/2001	Takashi Yamada	11501	6392	
38834	7590 04/04/2005		EXAM	INER	
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW			LE, DANH C		
SUITE 700	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	WASHINGTON, DC 20036			2683	
			DATE MAILED: 04/04/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A (! 4! 1) -	A (! 4/-)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/986,970	YAMADA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	DANH C LE	2683				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Oc	ctober 2004.					
<u> </u>						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	, ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		, ,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	acons reproducti (i 10-102)				

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

An application in which the benefits of an earlier application are desired must contain a specific reference to the prior application(s) in the first sentence(s) of the specification or in an application data sheet by identifying the prior application by application number (37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) and (a)(5)). If the prior application is a non-provisional application, the specific reference must also include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) between the applications except when the reference is to a prior application of a CPA assigned the same application number.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1. Claims 1-5, 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lin (US 6,366,791).

As to claim 1, Lin teaches a distribution system (figure 2), comprising:

a server (40) holding content data and a ringing tone, and distributing said content data and said ringing tone in accordance with a distribution request for said content data; and

Art Unit: 2683

a cellular phone (20) transmitting the distribution request for said content data to said server, and receiving said ringing tone together with said content data from said server.

As to claim 2, Lin teaches the distribution system according to claim 1, wherein said cellular phone transmits authentication data in accordance with a request for transmission of the authentication data from said server, and receives said content data and said ringing tone in response to authentication of said authentication data being verified by said server (col.3, lines 58-65).

As to claim 3, Lin teaches the distribution system according to claim 1, wherein said server extracts and regenerates a ringing tone in response to the distribution request for said content data, and transmits the regenerated ringing tone to said cellular phone, and

said cellular phone transmits the distribution request for said ringing tone to said server in response to the distribution request for said ringing tone being entered (col.3, lines 31-57).

As to claim 4, Lin teaches the distribution system according to claim 1, wherein said cellular phone receives said ringing tone only when the distribution request for said ringing tone is transmitted to said server (col.3, lines 31-57).

As to claim 5, Lin teaches the distribution system according to claim 1, wherein said content data is music data, and

said server distributes to said cellular phone, as said ringing tone, a tune related to music data for which the distribution request is issued (col.4, lines 12-29).

As to claim 9, Lin teaches the distribution system according to claim 1, wherein a user of said cellular phone only pays a price for said content data to said server (col.3, lines 57-65).

2. Claims 10, 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lee (US 6,418,330).

As to claim 10 Lee teaches the cellular phone receiving a zinging tone together with content data from a server holding said content data and said ringing tone (figure 3 and col.3, line 36-col.4, line 9), comprising:

- a transmission/reception unit (300) communicating with said server;
- a memory unit (330) storing said content data and said ringing tone;
- a ringing tone generating unit (360);
- a key operation unit (340) for entering an instruction; and
- a control unit (320),

said control unit transmitting a distribution request for said content data entered from said key operation unit to said server via said transmission/reception unit in response to said distribution request, inputting said content data and said ringing tone received via said transmission/reception unit into said memory unit, and setting said received ringing tone to said ringing tone generating unit,

said ringing tone generating unit generating said set ringing tone when said control unit receives a normal call via said transmission/reception unit.

As to claim 16, Lee teaches the cellular phone according to claim 10, wherein said content data is music data, and

Art Unit: 2683

said control unit receives a ringing tone determined based on a category to which requested music data belongs (user can input his favorite music).

As to claim 17, Lee teaches the cellular phone according to claim 10, wherein said control unit receives said content data and said ringing tone while no telephone call is in process (col.3, lines 1-6).

As to claim 18, Lee teaches the cellular phone according to claim 10, wherein said control unit reads said received ringing tone from said memory unit in accordance with a request for setting a ringing tone entered via said key operation unit, and supplies the read ringing tone to said ringing tone generating unit (col.3, lines 58-col.4, line 9).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2683

3. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin (6,366,791).

Page 6

said content data is music data, Lin fails to teach the server distributes to said cellular phone, as said ringing tone, a part of music data for which the distribution request is issued at least a predetermined number of times. The examiner take Official Notice that the distribution request is issued at least a predetermined number of times is known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of a predetermined number of times into the system of Lin in order to enhance system performance of the musical ringing tone on mobile station.

As to claim 6, Lin teaches the distribution system according to claim 1, wherein

4. Claims 7, 8, 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin (6,366,791) in view of Lee (US 6,418,330).

As to claim 7, Lin teaches the distribution system according to claim 1, wherein said content data is music data, Lin fails to teach said server distributes to said cellular phone a ringing tone determined based on a category to which requested music data belongs. Lee teaches server distributes to said cellular phone a ringing tone determined based on a category to which requested music data belongs (user enter the category which he like). The examiner take Official Notice that the distribution request is issued at least a predetermined number of times is known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

Art Unit: 2683

made to provide the teaching of Lee into the system of Lin in order to enhance system performance of the musical ringing tone on mobile station.

As to claim 8, the combination of Lin and Lee teaches the distribution system according to claim 1, wherein said cellular phone receives said content data and said ringing tone from said distribution server while no telephone call is in process (Lee, col.3, lines 1-6).

As to claim 11, the limitation of the claim is the same limitation of claim 2; therefore, the claim is interpreted and rejected as set forth as claim 2.

As to claim 12, the limitation of the claim is the same limitation of claim 4; therefore, the claim is interpreted and rejected as set forth as claim 4.

As to claim 13, the limitation of the claim is the same limitation of claim 3; therefore, the claim is interpreted and rejected as set forth as claim 3.

As to claim 14, the limitation of the claim is the same limitation of claim 5; therefore, the claim is interpreted and rejected as set forth as claim 5.

As to claim 15, the limitation of the claim is the same limitation of claim 6; therefore, the claim is interpreted and rejected as set forth as claim 6.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

A. Kanamori et al (US 6,662,022) teaches portable telephone set.

Art Unit: 2683

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 8

examiner should be directed to DANH C LE whose telephone number is 571-272-7868.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, WILLIAM TROST can be reached on 571-272-7872. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

March 30 2005

DANK JONG LE

PATENT X MINER